



District 57 Toastmasters Virtual Evaluation Contest

Experience Excellence

May 15, 2020

District Director

Kevin Markl, IP4

Program Quality Director

Bett Bollhoefer, DTM

Club Growth Director

Ashley Ching, SR4

Immediate Past District Director

Diane Pleuss, DTM, IPDD

District 57

www.d57tm.org

Toastmasters International

www.toastmasters.org

District Mission

We build new clubs and support
all clubs in achieving excellence.

7:00 SAA Calls Contest to Order

Bill Holsey

Welcome and Logistics

David Dai &
Marissa Smithwick

Toastmasters

Rules, Timing, Speaking Order

Brendan Murphy &
Dennis Dawson

Target Speaker (5-7 minutes)

Contestants leave to write evaluations (5 minutes)

Target Speaker Interview

Brendan Murphy &
Dennis Dawson

Evaluation Contestants (in speaking order):

1. Haruka Yamashita
2. Tyree Johnson
3. Gwen Hsu
4. Nora Guy
5. Bruce Maison
6. Lisa Schulman
7. Nicole Gray
8. Gina Cefalu
9. Tyler Vivian

Ballot Counters and Chief Judge leave to tally votes

Constant Interviews

Brendan Murphy &
Dennis Dawson

Acknowledge Functionaries

David Dai &
Marissa Smithwick

District Awards and Recognition

Kevin Markl &
Bett Bollhoefer

Announcements

District 57 International Speech Contest:
Friday, May 22, 2020, 7:00 p.m. on Zoom

Bett Bollhoefer

Evaluation Winners Awards

Bett Bollhoefer

9:00 Contest Adjourned

EVALUATION CONTEST

JUDGE'S GUIDE AND BALLOT



JUDGING ITEMS	SUGGESTED POINT VALUES				Haruka Yamashita	Tyree Johnson	Gwen Hsu	Nora Guy	Bruce Maison	Lisa Schulman	Nicole Gray	Gina Cefalu	Tyler Vivian	
	EXCELLENT	VERY GOOD	GOOD	FAIR										
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Analytical Quality Clear, Focused	40	28-39	17-27	0-16										
Recommendations Positive, Specific, Helpful	30	22-29	13-21	0-12										
Technique Sympathetic, Sensitive, Motivational	15	11-14	6-10	0-5										
Summation Concise, Encouraging	15	11-14	6-10	0-5										
TOTAL SCORE (100 Points Possible)														

JUDGING CRITERIA

Analytical Quality refers to the effectiveness of the evaluation. Every evaluation should carefully analyze the strengths and weaknesses of the speaker's presentation. Were the evaluator's comments clear and logical? Did the evaluator identify specific strengths and weaknesses of the presentation?

Recommendations are an important part of an evaluation. An evaluator not only points out the strengths and weaknesses of a speech, he/she also offers specific recommendations for improvement. Recommendations should be practical, helpful and positive, and they should enable the speaker to improve his or her next presentation.

Technique refers to the manner in which the evaluator presents his/her comments and recommendations. An evaluator should be sensitive to the feelings and needs of the speaker, yet inspire and encourage the speaker in his/her future speaking efforts.

Summation is how the evaluator concludes the evaluation. The conclusion should briefly summarize the evaluator's comments and suggestions, and be positive and encouraging.